REMARKS

In the Office Action dated August 25, 2006, the Examiner stated the application contains patentably distinct inventions, namely the method of claims 1-6 and the apparatus of claim 7. Restriction between these patentably distinct inventions was required under 35 U.S.C. §121.

In response, Applicants herewith elect method claims 1-6 for immediate examination, without traverse. Claim 7 has been cancelled and is being separately prosecuted in a divisional application.

The title has been amended to reflect this election.

Early examination of claims 1-6 on the merits is therefore respectfully requested.

Submitted by,

(Reg. 28,982)

SCHIFF, HARDIN LLP, CUSTOMER NO. 26574

Patent Department, 6600 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606

Telephone: 312/258-5790 Attorneys for Applicants.

CH1\ 4688804.1